

**State-Mandated Program Costs Owed to Cities
Los Angeles County Division**

This chart represents unreimbursed costs incurred by cities for state-mandated programs* between 2004 and fiscal year 2019-20. This reflects data from the State Controller's Office as of October 2021. These figures are in whole dollars rounded to the nearest dollar, and represent the principal owed (does not include estimated accrued interest).

City	Amount Owed	Senator		Assembly Member	
Agoura Hills	\$ 85,542	Allen	24	Irwin	42
Beverly Hills	\$ 936,155	Allen	24	Zbur	51
Calabasas	\$ 81,951	Allen	24	Irwin	42
Carson	\$ 445,011	Bradford	35	Gipson Lowenthal	65 69
Culver City	\$ 324,445	Smallwood-Cuevas	28	Bryan	55
El Segundo	\$ 487,294	Allen	24	Muratsuchi	66
Gardena	\$ 721,575	Allen Bradford	24 35	McKinnor Muratsuchi	61 66
Hawthorne	\$ 582,915	Bradford	35	McKinnor	61
Hermosa Beach	\$ 295,712	Allen	24	Muratsuchi	66
Hidden Hills	~	Allen	24	Irwin	42
Inglewood	\$ 95,959	Bradford	35	McKinnor	61
Lawndale	\$ 996,469	Bradford	35	McKinnor	61
Lomita	\$ 88,970	Allen	24	Muratsuchi	66
Los Angeles	\$ 149,883,216	Menjivar Allen Durazo Stern Smallwood-Cuevas Bradford	20 24 26 27 28 35	Schiavo Irwin Rivas Friedman Gabriel Zbur Carrillo Santiago Bryan Jones-Sawyer McKinnor Gipson Muratsuchi	40 42 43 44 46 51 52 54 55 57 61 65 66
Malibu	\$ 570,677	Allen	24	Irwin	42
Manhattan Beach	\$ 730,092	Allen	24	Muratsuchi	66
Palos Verdes Estates	\$ 58,195	Allen	24	Muratsuchi	66
Rancho Palos Verdes	\$ 807,525	Allen	24	Muratsuchi	66
Redondo Beach	\$ 633,495	Allen	24	Muratsuchi	66
Rolling Hills	~	Allen	24	Muratsuchi	66
Rolling Hills Estates	\$ 99,092	Allen	24	Muratsuchi	66
Santa Monica	\$ 4,131,256	Allen	24	Zbur	51
West Hollywood	\$ 1,731,775	Allen	24	Zbur	51
Westlake Village	~	Allen	24	Irwin	42
Total	\$ 163,787,321				

*Cities must apply for reimbursement of State-Mandated costs incurred from the State Controller's Office (SCO), Local Reimbursements Section. The SCO maintains a list of eligible reimbursements and those mandates which have been suspended at www.sco.ca.gov.

**For reasons unknown to Cal Cities staff, data for Hidden Hills, Rolling Hills, and Westlake Village was not provided by the State Controller's Office.

Cal Cities 2023-24 State Budget Requests

In the spirit of a strong state and local government partnership that benefits all Californians, the League of California Cities is calling on the state to bolster local government efforts to support our most vulnerable residents and ensure California's economic strength.

Ongoing funding to increase housing supply and reduce homelessness: **\$3 billion**

We need a permanent home in the budget for housing and homelessness.

- The state has made significant investments in recent years, however one-time investments will not solve this crisis or allow for long-term planning efforts.
- Only half of the [\\$10.2 billion](#) included in the 2022 Budget Act for homelessness programs includes cities as an eligible program participant.
- **Cal Cities is calling on lawmakers** to support an ongoing, annual \$3 billion investment in the 2023-24 state budget to help cities increase housing supply and prevent and reduce homelessness.

State-Mandated Program Costs Owed to Local Governments: **Nearly \$1 billion**

The vitality of local governments is dependent upon fiscal stability.

- The state owes more than \$933.5 million to cities, counties, and special districts for costs related to state mandates incurred after 2004. The money owed to cities stems from years of unpaid reimbursement claims filed by local governments for the cost of implementing dozens of mandated programs approved by state lawmakers.
- Local governments rely on the state to reimburse them in a timely manner for costs related to state-mandated programs. Failing to pay for these costs threatens the stability of local budgets and the ability of local governments to fund essential services to the community.
- **Cal Cities is calling on lawmakers** to stop balancing the state budget on the back of local governments by suspending additional state-mandated local programs, and further increasing the backlog of unpaid mandate claims.

Safeguard local revenues and support local fiscal sustainability: **Priceless**

Local governments are essential to the strength of California's economy.

- Cities are the foundation of California's robust economy. In the face of a looming economic recession, Cal Cities opposes any action that would reduce or eliminate funding for cities or divert essential local revenue sources.
- Siphoning local revenues weaken the fiscal condition of local governments and compound the state's financial challenges.
- **Cal Cities is calling on lawmakers** to support a local-state partnership that protects, increases, and modernizes revenue streams for the state and local governments so we can serve our shared constituents.